UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,858	10/12/2005	Johannes Ludescher	33166A	2512
72554 SANDOZ INC	7590 01/13/200		EXAMINER	
506 CARNEFIL		BERCH, MARK L		
PRINCETON, NJ 08540			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/552,858	LUDESCHER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	/Mark L. Berch/	1624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
	/ IO OFT TO EVEIDE A MONTH!	0) OD THIDTY (00) DAYO					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/29	9/2008.						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-9 and 19</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-9 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/2008.	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ацент Аррисаціон					

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

With the limitations of claim 5 to m=1 and Y to halogen, and the submission of the proper translations, the rejections over Handa 20050043531 are overcome, as well as the rejections under 35 USC 112. Prosecution is reopened.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 2-4, 8-9, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 2-4, 8-9, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims as written cannot be considered enabled. Alternatively, they are not written properly.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,858

- 1. Claim 19 has a process with two branches. In one branch, the silylated starting material IIB is acylated with a reactive version of III to give the silylated intermediate IV, and the silyl protecting group is removed. In the second branch, the non-silylated IIA, where n = 0-2, is acylated with a reactive version of III to give the non-silylated V. The second branch is impossible in the case where n=0. When n=0, there is no HX in the starting material, but the final product V requires one mole of HX (i.e. m=1). Where did the HX come from? The only remaining possibility is that it comes from the reactive version of III, i.e. III was reacted as the acid halide. But that does not have to be Cl, Br or I; it could be example a S-mercaptobenzothiazolyl ester, as the specification sets forth at page 4, line 29. (Does not apply to claim 2-4)
- 2. The produce is the dihydrochloride. But even the salt V has "HX", in which X can be Br, or I (note that X=I in claim 3). How can the Br or I compound give the dihydrochloride? (Does not apply to claims 2 or 4)
- 3. In addition, where did the second HCl come from? Note that m is 1. To get the second, won't some additional HCl be needed?
- 4. Likewise, the product formed is the monohydrate. However, the process does not require water as a solvent indeed, no solvent is even mentioned. (Does not apply to claim 3)

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

These claims use IIA in the form of a "monohydrate" (claim 3) or "solvated" (claim 4). But no provision for hydrate or solvate in see in claim 19. Moreover, for claim 4, solvated with what?

Claim 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 6 refers to the "free base", but with m=1, free base is no longer embraced.

Claim is no longer needed.

Claims 8, 9, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 says, "the silyl protecting groups, if present, are removed". This is in error. First, there is only one silyl group, T, so the use of the plural is wrong. Second, the "if present" is wrong, because the Formula IV requires that it be present.

Applicants' attention is called to 7339055. Applicants may wish to comment on whether or not applicants believe that there is interfering subject matter present.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /Mark L. Berch/ whose telephone number is 571-272-0663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:15 - 3:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on (571)272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/552,858

Art Unit: 1624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information

for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information

about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service

Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA

OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark L. Berch/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1624 Page 5

1/14/2009